

CS 360 — Assignment 4 Solutions

University of Waterloo, Spring 2018

1. To show that L is decidable, we construct a TM M that does the following on input w :
 1. Mark the first tape cell with a distinct mark that denotes the beginning of the tape.
 2. Scan w for an unmarked b ; if an unmarked b is found, mark it, move left to the beginning of the tape, and go to the next step. If no b is found, check for an unmarked a . If no unmarked a is found, then *reject*; otherwise, *accept*.
 3. Scan w to find an unmarked a ; mark it if one is found go to the next step; otherwise no unmarked a was found, so *accept*.
 4. Continue scanning w ; if another unmarked a is found, mark it, move left to the beginning of the tape, and go to step 2; otherwise a second unmarked a was not found, so *accept*.

This machine will look for a b and try to find two a s for each b it sees. Since at each step of the search, the machine knows whether it has seen two a s for each b or not, it will always be able to halt with the correct answer. Therefore, L is decidable.

2. To show that L is decidable, we construct a TM M that does the following:
 1. On input $\langle A, B \rangle$, where A and B are DFAs, construct a DFA C with $L(C) = L(A) \cap L(B)$ by using De Morgan's laws:
 1. Construct DFAs A' and B' that recognize $\overline{L(A)}$ and $\overline{L(B)}$ by swapping the accepting and non-accepting states.
 2. Construct an NFA C' with $L(C') = L(A') \cup L(B')$.
 3. Obtain a DFA C'' by performing the subset construction on C' .
 4. Construct a DFA C with $L(C) = \overline{L(C')}$.
 2. Let E be the Turing machine that decides E_{DFA} . Run E on $\langle C \rangle$.
 3. If E accepts, then *accept*. If E rejects, then *reject*.

Since E decides E_{DFA} , it is guaranteed to halt and give an answer. Thus, our Turing machine is guaranteed to halt and give an answer. Thus, this machine decides L and L is decidable.

3. (a) Given a Turing machine M that decides a language L , we can construct a Turing machine M' which decides \overline{L} . M' operates as follows:
 1. On input w , run M on w .

2. If M rejects w , then *accept*; if M accepts w , then *reject*.

Since L is decidable, M is guaranteed to halt. Thus M' decides \bar{L} and \bar{L} is decidable.

(b) Let M_1 and M_2 be Turing machines that recognize languages L_1 and L_2 , respectively. We can construct a Turing machine M_3 that recognizes $L_1 \cap L_2$. M_3 operates as follows:

1. On input word w , run M_1 on w . If M_1 accepts, then go to the next step. If M_1 rejects, then *reject*.
2. Run M_2 on w . If M_2 accepts, then *accept*; otherwise, *reject*.

First, we note that M_3 accepts w only if both M_1 and M_2 accept w and M_3 will reject w if at least one of M_1 or M_2 rejects w . However, if either M_1 or M_2 do not halt, then M_3 does not halt. Thus, M_3 recognizes $L_1 \cap L_2$.

(c) Let M_1 and M_2 be Turing machines that recognize languages L_1 and L_2 , respectively. We can construct a Turing machine M_3 that recognizes $L_1 \cdot L_2$. M_3 operates as follows:

1. On input w , nondeterministically split w into two parts $w = w_1w_2$.
2. Run M_1 on w_1 . If M_1 accepts, then go to the next step. If M_1 rejects, then *reject*.
3. Run M_2 on w_2 . If M_2 accepts, then *accept*. If M_2 rejects, then *reject*.

We note that M_3 will only accept w if there exists a branch of computation where w_1 is accepted by M_1 and w_2 is accepted by M_2 . If there is no w_1 that is accepted by M_1 , M_3 either halts and rejects or runs forever. The same applies to M_2 if there exists some w_1 that is accepted by M_1 but no suitable w_2 is accepted by M_2 .

4. To show that a doubly-infinite Turing machine can simulate an ordinary TM, we simply mark the initial tape cell with a special symbol that disallows the machine from moving to the left of the cell.

To show that an ordinary Turing machine can simulate a doubly-infinite Turing machine, instead, we show that a 2-tape TM, which we have shown to be equivalent in power to the ordinary TM, can simulate a doubly-infinite tape. Let D be a doubly-infinite TM and let M be our 2-tape TM. We split the tape of D into two parts and assign each part to a tape of M . Tape 1 of M corresponds to the part of the tape of D that contains the input word and everything to the right. Tape 2 of M contains everything on the tape of D to the left of the input word in reverse order.

More formally, let w_0 denote the contents of the tape cell that contained the first symbol of the input word at the beginning of the computation of D . At the beginning of computation, M must mark the leftmost cell of each tape with some symbol $\#$ so

the machine can tell where it needs to switch tapes. Then if D has a tape uw_0v , Tape 1 of M contains $\#w_0v$ and Tape 2 of M contains $\#u^R$.

5. We show that $FIN(\Sigma)$ has a correspondence with the set of binary words $\{0, 1\}^*$, which we know to be countable. We also know that the set of words over Σ is countable and can be enumerated in lexicographic order s_1, s_2, s_2, \dots . We define the characteristic string of a language $L \in FIN(\Sigma)$ to be a binary string $\mathbf{b} = b_1b_2 \cdots b_n$ with

$$b_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s_i \notin L, \\ 1 & \text{if } s_i \in L. \end{cases}$$

If s_n is the lexicographically greatest string in L , then we define $s_j = \varepsilon$ for all $j > n$. The string s_n must exist since L is finite. Then every finite language L has a finite characteristic binary string and every finite binary string corresponds to a finite language over Σ . Thus, $FIN(\Sigma)$ is countable.